

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/937,839      | 02/21/2002       | Yasufumi Kaneda      | 59150.8010              | 7050             |  |
| 22918           | 7590 09/12/2002  |                      |                         |                  |  |
|                 | PERKINS COIE LLP |                      |                         | EXAMINER         |  |
| P.O. BOX 2168   |                  |                      | CHEN, LIPING            |                  |  |
| MENLO PA        | RK, CA 94026     |                      | Olibit, E               |                  |  |
|                 |                  |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                 |                  |                      | 1632                    | 1                |  |
|                 |                  |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002 | h                |  |
|                 |                  |                      |                         | (*               |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |
|---|---|---|
|   | 09/937,839  | KANEDA, YASUFUMI  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |
|   | Liping Chen   | 1632  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   | appears on the cover shee   | with the correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second part of the process of the maximum statutory period for reply will, by second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma n. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) It statute, cause the application to become | y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | ·   |   |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒   | This action is non-final.   |   |
| Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims   | der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935   | natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |
| 4) Claim(s) $1-36$ is/are pending in the application  |   |   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with   | drawn from consideration.   |   |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |
| <ul><li>8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and<br/>Application Papers</li></ul>   | I/or election requirement.  |   |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan  | niner.  |   |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a  | accepted or b) objected to b  | y the Examiner.   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection t  |   |   |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _   | is: a)  approved b)   | disapproved by the Examiner.  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required i  | n reply to this Office action.  |   |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | e Examiner.   |   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |   |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for   | eign priority under 35 U.S.0  | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |   |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum   | nents have been received.   | •   |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum   | nents have been received ir   | Application No  |
| <ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>  | I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)  | ).  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom   | ·   |   |
| a)  The translation of the foreign language   | provisional application has   | been received.  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.  | ) 5) Notice   | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office<br>TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office  | e Action Summary  | Part of Paper No. 6   |

Application/Control Number: 09/937,839

Art Unit: 1632

## Election/Restriction

Lack of unity is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or goups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. The species are: Retroviridae, Togaviridae, Cornoviridae, Flaviviridae, Paramyxoviridae, Orthomyxoviridae, Bunyaviridae, Rhabdoviridae, Poxviridae, Herpesviridae, Baculoviridae, Hepadnaviridae and HVJ. These are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Applicant is required to select one species for examination practice.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Different species of virus containing different nucleic acid that constitutes the genome and different envelope structure, therefore requires different techniques for virus production and the use thereof. Further, 37 CFR 1.475 does not provide for multiple independent products, methods of manufacture and methods of use (37 CFR 1.475(d). Therefore, The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liping Chen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4842. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 to 5:00 (Eastern Standard Time). Should the examiner be unavailable, inquiries should be directed to Deborah Reynolds, Supervisory Primary Examiner of Art Unit 1632, at (703) 305-4051. Any administrative or procedural questions should be directed to Pauline Farrier, Patent Analyst, at (703) 305-3550. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in

Application/Control Number: 09/937,839

Art Unit: 1632

Page 3

Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 308-8724.

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1632.

Liping Chen, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1632 August 23, 2002

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600